IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PAUL CAPRON	:
608 Beechwood Road	:
Willow Grove, PA 19090	: CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiff,	. No
v.	· :
SHARP CORPORATION d/b/a	: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
SHARP PACKAGING SOLUTIONS	:
23 Carland Road	:
Conshohocken, PA 19428	:
Defendant.	; ;
	·

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action has been initiated by Paul Capron (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff") against Sharp Corporation d/b/a Sharp Packaging Solutions (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant") for violations of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA" - 29 USC §§ 621 et. seq.). As a direct consequence of Defendant's unlawful actions, Plaintiff seeks damages as set forth herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the instant action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a)(4) because it arises under the laws of the United States and

¹ Plaintiff will move to amend his instant lawsuit to include a claim under the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA" - 43 P.S. §§ 951 et. seq.) once his administrative remedies are fully exhausted with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission with respect to such claims. Any claims under the PHRA would mirror Plaintiff's instant ADEA claims as detailed herein (although there are some differences in damage entitlements under such laws).

seeks redress for violations of federal laws. There lies supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state-law claims because they arise out of the same common nucleus of operative facts as Plaintiff's federal claims asserted herein.

- 3. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendant because its contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in *International Shoe Co. v. Washington*, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because substantially all of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to these claims took place in this district.

PARTIES

- 5. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 6. Plaintiff is a male who was born in 1958 and was 59 years-old at the time Defendant terminated his employment.
- 7. Defendant provides commercial and clinical contract packaging services to the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industry, which operates in many locations, including the Conshohocken, PA location where Plaintiff was employed.
- 8. At all times relevant herein, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants, and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendant.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 9. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 10. Plaintiff was hired by Defendant in or about March of 2013.
 - 11. Plaintiff performed a cost-accounting role for Defendant.
- 12. For the majority of Plaintiff's employment with Defendant, he was supervised by Kathy Bowers, who was Defendant's prior Controller.
 - 13. In or about early 2017, Ms. Bowers separated from Defendant's employment.
- 14. Following Ms. Bowers' separation from employment, Plaintiff became supervised by the Director of Finance, Mike Raymond. Mr. Raymond commenced his employment with Defendant in or about early 2017.
- 15. Prior to being supervised by Mr. Raymond, Plaintiff was considered a solid asset, a good employee and did not have or exhibit any disciplinary problems.
- 16. However, Mr. Raymond treated Plaintiff noticeably different than younger employees and overall unfavorably, including issuing pretextual discipline and admonishment.
- 17. As a consequence of Mr. Raymond's discrimination and harassment of Plaintiff due to his age, Plaintiff expressed periodic complaints directly to Mr. Raymond of what Plaintiff perceived to be discriminatory treatment based upon his age.
- 18. In or about early January of 2018, Plaintiff raised concerns of age discrimination to human resources, Robert Cohen, who merely brushed Plaintiff's complaint aside and performed no investigation.
- 19. Not only were Plaintiff's complaints ignored, Plaintiff was given performance counseling to ultimately justify his pretextual termination.

- 20. On or about January 18, 2018, Plaintiff was terminated by Defendant, merely two(2) weeks after his most recent complaint of mistreatment based upon his age.
- 21. Plaintiff was informed that his termination was considered part of an alleged reduction in force. In reality, Plaintiff's termination was pretextual as he was terminated because of his age and complaints of age discrimination.
- 22. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff's former job duties are now being performed by individual(s) who are substantially younger than Plaintiff, including Dan Diebert (approximately in his 20's).
- 23. Plaintiff provided Defendant with nearly five (5) years of dedicated and strong performance and then suffered pretextual harassment and discipline which prompted him to make complaints of age discrimination. Plaintiff's termination occurred very shortly after: (i) he was subjected to unwarranted harassment; and (ii) his complaints of age discrimination.
- 24. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that he was terminated because of his age and/or his complaints of age discrimination.

Count I <u>Violations of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA")</u> ([1] Discrimination, [2] Retaliation, & [3] Hostile Work Environment)

- 25. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 26. Plaintiff properly exhausted his administrative remedies before proceeding in this Court for violations of the ADEA by timely filing a Charge with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and by filing the instant Complaint within 60 days of the filing of said EEOC Charge.
 - 27. Plaintiff was over 40 years old at the time of his termination.

- 28. Defendant has and continues to employ over 20 employees per calendar year.
- 29. Plaintiff had performed his job satisfactorily for nearly 5 years prior to his termination.
- 30. Plaintiff was terminated because of his advanced age and for retaliatory reasons as set forth above and *infra*.
- 31. Plaintiff suffered many actions all as part of an overall hostile work environment which included but were not limited to: (a) being repeatedly admonished; (b) being treated disparately with respect to policies; (c) suffering pretextual discipline; and (d) other discriminatory or retaliatory acts for which Plaintiff seeks relief herein (including his termination from employment).
- 32. The aforementioned actions were severe and pervasive, which caused Plaintiff to make complaints of age discrimination.
- 33. Plaintiff experienced discriminatory treatment in exceedingly close proximity to his complaints of age discrimination, including termination.
- 34. These actions as aforesaid constitute unlawful discrimination and retaliation under the ADEA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:

- A. Defendant is to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff, and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal actions, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, salary, pay increases, bonuses, insurance, benefits, training, promotions, reinstatement, and seniority.
- B. Plaintiff is to be awarded liquidated damages, as permitted by applicable law, in an amount believed by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish Defendant for its

willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct and to deter Defendant or other employers

from engaging in such misconduct in the future;

Plaintiff is to be accorded other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just, C.

proper, and appropriate (including but not limited to damages for emotional distress / pain and

suffering when legally permitted);²

Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable D.

attorneys' fees as provided by applicable federal and state law;

Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by the Court to maximize the E.

financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth in

applicable law; and

Plaintiff's claims are to receive a trial by jury to the extent allowed by applicable F.

law. Plaintiff has also endorsed this demand on the caption of this Complaint in accordance with

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

By:

/s/ Ari R. Karpf

Ari R. Karpf, Esq.

Adam C. Lease, Esq,

3331 Street Road

Two Greenwood Square, Suite 128

Bensalem, PA 19020

(215) 639-0801

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: April 4, 2018

² Plaintiff recognizes that violations of the ADEA do not give rise to emotional distress damages; however, Plaintiff adds this paragraph in the complaint to put Defendants on notice of Plaintiff's intent to seek emotional distress damages when Plaintiff amends his complaint to add a claim under the PHRA. See note 1, supra.

6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Paul Capror	1	· ·	CIVIL ACTION		,	
v.		1				
arp Corporation d/b/a Sharp Pa	ackaging Solutions		NO.	-		
plaintiff shall complete a Ci filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the	ase Management T to a copy on all defi event that a defen shall, with its first arties, a Case Mana	Tack Designati endants. (See § dant does not appearance, st agement Track	duction Plan of this court, couns ion Form in all civil cases at the ti 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reagree with the plaintiff regarding about to the clerk of court and ser Designation Form specifying the	me o verse g said ve on	fed	
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CA	SE MANAGE	MENT TRACKS:			
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases	brought under 28	U.S.C. § 2241	through § 2255.	()	
(b) Social Security - Cases and Human Services de	requesting review nying plaintiff Soc	of a decision on a sial Security Be	of the Secretary of Health enefits.	()	
(c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.						
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvexposure to asbestos.	ving claims for per	sonal injury or	property damage from	()	
(e) Special Management – commonly referred to a the court. (See reverse management cases.)	s complex and that	t need special (or intense management by	())	
(f) Standard Management	- Cases that do no	t fall into any c	one of the other tracks.	(X)	
4/4/2018			Plaintiff			
Date	Attorney-a	t-law	Attorney for		•	
(215) 639-0801	9-0801 (215) 639-4970 akarpf@karpf-law.com		· · · · · ·	_		
	FAX Numi		E-Mail Address			

(Clv. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

THE PARTY OF THE P	to used by councel to indicate the sufectory of the case, some
OR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to last ment to appropriate calendar.	
ddress of Plaintiff. 608 Beechwood Road, Willow Grove, PA 19090	
ddress of Defendant: 23 Carland Road, Conshohocken, PA 19428	
ace of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendant's place of business	1
(OSR VANAVA DITTE LAL	•
oca this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation t	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	yesta Note
oes this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yos□ No X
BLATED CASE, IF ANY:	Deta Tamalantada
aso Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
ivil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	•
Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	ear previously terminated action in this court?
	· YesU No⊷
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	and heading or Artiful out her branchest, commission
	Yes□ No□
Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earflor	numbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Aca□ Ы°□
Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rigit	nts case filed by the same individual?
Is this case a second of successive flatona corpus, social second approximation	Yea□ No□
VII.: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
Rederal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
, D Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. D Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
_ D FELA	2. D Airplane Personal Injury
. 🗇 Jones Act-Personal Ілјиту	3. G Assault, Defamation
i. a Antitrust	4. D Marine Personal Injury
5, © Patent	5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
5. D Labor-Management Relations	6. O Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. N. Civil Rights	7. D Products Liability
3. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
), □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases
0. D Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
1. D All other Federal Question Cases	
(Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CER	TIFICATION
Ari R. Karnf counsel of record do hereby con	tify:
Ari R. Karpf , counsel of record do hereby com M. Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge an	d belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 4/4/2018	ARK2484 Attorney I.D.# 91538
Attomey-nt-Law	Attorney I.D.# 91538
NOTE: A trial do novo will be a trial by Jury only if the	,
certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not religid to any case now pending o	r within one year previously terminated action in this court
certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not read to any case as noted above.	
except as noted above. 4/4/2018	ARK2484 Attorney 1.D.# 91538

DEFENDANTS

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Print

Save As...

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

CAPRON, PAUL				SHARP CORPOR	ATION d	l/b/a SHARP P.	ACKAGING	SOLU	TIONS
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Montgomery (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Karpf, Karpf & Cerutti, F Suite 128, Bensalem, PA	ddress, and Telephone Number) P.C.; 3331 Street Road 19020; (215) 639-080	, Two Greenwood 1; akarpf@karpf-	Square, law.com	Attorneys (If Known)					
I. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in On	e Box Only)		FIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	AL PARTIES	Place an "X" in O	ne Box for	Plaintif f
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	ot a Party)	· ·		FF DEF	Incorporated or Princ of Business In Ti	cipal Place	Defendan PTF 4	DEF 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	2 2	Incorporated and Pri of Business In A		5	5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Onl					k here for: Nature o	f Suit Code Des	criptions.	
CONTRACT	TO	RTS		RECTUREPENALTY	***	NKRUPTCY	OTHERS		<u>s </u>
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REALPROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice EIVIL RIGHES 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury Product Liability Product Liability Personal Injury Product Liability Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEF 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETETIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Confinement	0 69 XTY X 71 0 72 0 74 75 0 75	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 11 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 3 Other Immigration Actions	PROPE 820 Cop	ORTYRIGHTS ORTYRIGHTS Dyrights Int Int - Abbreviated W Drug Application Imark ESECURITY 1395ff) Esk Lung (923) C/D/LWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	375 False Cla 376 Qui Tam (3729(a)) 400 State Rea 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and 450 Commerc 460 Deportati 470 Racketeer Corrupt Classes 480 Consumer 490 Cable/Sat 890 Other State 891 Agricultu 893 Environm Act 894 Arbitratic 899 Administ Act/Revi Agency Leg 950 Constitut State State State	31 USC pportionmed d Banking c on Tinfluence reganizatio r Credit TV //Commodic tutory Act ral Acts cental Matte of Information on rative Processor poecision ionality of	ed and ities/ ities/ cions ers ation
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i		D d. d. Grann	a 4 Deis	notated or ' 5 Transf	ferred from	1 6 Multidist	riet fi 8	Multidis	strict
	emoved from 0 3 ate Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	I 4 Reit Reo		er District	Litigation Transfer	n -	Litigation Direct Fi	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Brief description of ca Violations of the	621) use: ADEA.		Do not cite jurisdictional sta		iversity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTIO 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N I	DEMAND S		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND		'No	п.
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE	~		DOCK	ETNUMBER			
DATE 4/4/2018		SIGNATURE OF AT	ORNEY	OF RECORD	···				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY									
RECEIPT# A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JU	DGE		

Reset